

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,490 08/19/2003		Necdet Uzun	CIS0189US	5439
33031 CAMPBELL S	7590 09/12/200 TEPHENSON LLP	EXAMINER		
11401 CENTU	RY OAKS TERRACE	BATES, KEVIN T		
BLDG. H, SUI AUSTIN, TX 7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	•
			r 	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	80	
6,		
on.		
is		

.	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/643,490	UZUN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Kevin Bates	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Au	<u>ıgust 2007</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-66 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
	V				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 2155

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to a communication made on August 23, 2007.

Claims 1, 18, 35, 46, and 54 have been amended.

Claims 1-66 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knighty (2003/0163593) in view of Sultan (7102997).

Regarding claims 1, 46, and 54, Knightly teaches a method comprising: receiving information indicating a need to change an amount of data being transmitted through a first media access control (MAC) device to a client of the first MAC device; forming a message including an indication to a second MAC device to change a rate at which the second MAC device transmits data (Paragraph 47), wherein said forming the message uses the information indicating the need to change the amount of data being transmitted to the client (Paragraph 73, where the change based on the fairness message alters the bandwidth reserved for the clients); and transmitting the message to the second MAC device over a network (Paragraph 47, where each node in the ring receives a fairness control messages, using that message plus local measurements to

Art Unit: 2155

throttle rates, and send control messages upstream to inform other nodes how to

throttle their rates).

Knightly does not explicitly indicate wherein the information is received when the client determines that the client is receiving data at a rate exceeding a set threshold.

Sultan teaches a resilient packet ring system that allows a client to monitor an aggregate rate it is receiving at (Column 4, lines 38 - 40, where the users of the CUG control and monitor the leaky bucket mechanism) and if that rate exceeds a threshold creating a throttle message in the ring (Column 5, lines 1 - 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Sultan's teaching of setting thresholds and throttle messages based on a per client control in order to ensure certain service level agreements and best effort rates in the network in Knightly are being properly supplied.

Regarding claims 18 and 35, Knightly teaches an apparatus comprising: a first media access control (MAC) device operable to be coupled to a network, the first MAC device including control logic configured to prepare a message for transmission on the network including an indication to change a rate at which another MAC device transmits data (Paragraph 47, where each node in the ring receives a fairness control messages, using that message plus local measurements to throttle rates, and send control messages upstream to inform other nodes how to throttle their rates); and a MAC client coupled to the first MAC device, including: a buffer for storing data transmitted to the MAC client; and buffer control circuitry configured to provide information about an amount of data stored in the buffer (Paragraph 48), wherein the control logic is

Art Unit: 2155

responsive to the information about the amount of data stored in the buffer to prepare the message (Paragraph 61, where determining congestion of a node comprises determining if the secondary transit queue, the buffer for lower class traffic, is above a threshold).

Knightly does not explicitly indicate that the MAC client comprises a dedicated buffer for transmitting data to the MAC client.

Sultan teaches a system where there is included buffers on a per client basis that are monitored and used for sending throttle messages (Column 3, lines 19 – 24).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Sultan's teaching of setting thresholds and throttle messages based on a per client control in order to ensure certain service level agreements and best effort rates in the network in Knightly are being properly supplied.

Regarding claims 2 and 19, Knightly teaches the method of claims 1 and 18 wherein the network is a metropolitan area network (MAN) (Paragraph 5, lines 1-2).

Regarding claims 3 and 20, Knightly teaches the method of claims 1 and 18 wherein the network is a resilient packet ring (RPR) network (Paragraph 7).

Regarding claims 36, 47 and 55, Knightly teaches the apparatus of claim 35 wherein the network is at least one of a metropolitan area network (MAN) and a resilient packet ring (RPR) network (Paragraph 7).

Regarding claims 4, 21, and 37, Knightly teaches the method of claims 1, 18 and 35 wherein the network includes a first datapath for transmitting data from the first MAC device to the second MAC device, and wherein the network includes a second

Art Unit: 2155

datapath for transmitting data from the second MAC device to the first MAC device (Figure 1 and 2, where the network is a ring and each nodes are connected through different datapaths).

Regarding claim 5, 25, 38, 48, and 56, Knightly teaches the method of claims 1, 18, 35, 46, and 54 wherein the message is a resilient packet ring (RPR) fairness message (Paragraph 10).

Regarding claims 6, 26, 39, 49, and 57, Knightly teaches the method of claims 1, 18, 35, 46, and 54 further comprising: determining an extent to which a data buffer associated with the client of the first MAC device contains data (Paragraph 48); and preparing the information indicating the need to change the amount of data being transmitted through the first MAC device to the client of the first MAC device based on the extent to which the data buffer associated with the client of the first MAC device contains data (Paragraph 113).

Regarding claim 7 and 58, Knightly teaches the method of claims 6 and 54 further comprising: transmitting, to the first MAC device, the information indicating the need to change the amount of data being transmitted through the first MAC device to the client of the first MAC device (Paragraph 47).

Regarding claim 8, 27, 40, 50 and 59, Knightly teaches the method of claims 1, 18, 35, 46, and 54 wherein the message further includes a MAC device address (Paragraph 163, where each node receives a feedback signal, updates it and sends it upstream. A control message is inherently addressed to the MAC of the address it is being sent too).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 9, 28 and 60, Knightly teaches the method of claims 8, 27, and 59 wherein the MAC device address corresponds to one of the first MAC device, the second MAC device, and another MAC device (Paragraph 163, where each node receives a feedback signal, updates it and sends it upstream. A control message is inherently addressed to the MAC of the address it is being sent too).

Regarding claims 10, 29, 41, 51, and 61, Knightly teaches the method of claims 1, 18, 35, 46, and 54 wherein the indication to the second MAC device to change the rate at which the second MAC device transmits data includes at least one of: a MAC device address, a data transmission rate, a ramp factor, and a flag (Paragraph 160 and 163, where F is a transmission rate and it is sent to a second device).

Regarding claims 11, 30, 42, and 62, Knightly teaches the method of claims 1, 18, 35, and 54 wherein the indication to the second MAC device to change the rate at which the second MAC device transmits data includes a data transmission rate, the method further comprising: determining the data transmission rate (Paragraph 160 and 163).

Regarding claim 12 and 63, Knightly teaches the method of claims 11 and 54 wherein the determining the data transmission rate further comprises at least one of: calculating the data transmission rate; selecting a value for the data transmission rate; and determining a ramp factor (Paragraph 166).

Regarding claims 13 and 64, Knightly teaches the method of claims 1 and 54 further comprising: transmitting the message from the second MAC device to a third

Art Unit: 2155

MAC device (Paragraph 166, where each packet in the ring receives the fairness message, updates the values and forwards it upstream).

Regarding claim 14, Knightly teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the first MAC device is part of a first resilient packet ring (RPR) station and wherein the second MAC device is part of a second RPR station (Paragraph 7).

Regarding claims 15, 31, 43, 52 and 65, Knightly teaches the method of claims 1, 18, 35, 46, and 54 wherein the information indicating the need to change the amount of data being transmitted through the first MAC device to the client of the first MAC device includes at least one of: a data transmission rate, a counter value, a message indicating that a buffer threshold has been exceeded, and a signal from the client of the first MAC (Paragraph 160 and 166).

Regarding claim 16, 34, 45, 53, and 66, Knightly teaches the method of claim 1 wherein: the information indicating the need to change the amount of data being transmitted through the first MAC device to the client of the first MAC device further comprises at least one of: information indicating the need to reduce the amount of data being transmitted, and information indicating the need to increase the amount of data being transmitted; and the indication to the second MAC device to change the rate at which the second MAC device transmits data further comprises at least one of: an indication to the second MAC device to reduce the rate at which the second MAC device to increase the rate at which the second MAC device transmits data, and an indication to the second MAC device to increase the rate at which the second MAC device transmits data (Paragraph 67).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 17, Knightly teaches the method of claim 1 encoded in a computer readable medium as instructions executable on a processor, the computer readable medium being one of an electronic storage medium, a magnetic storage medium, and an optical storage medium (Paragraph 46, where the processor carries out the algorithm).

Regarding claim 22, Knightly teaches the apparatus of claim 21 wherein the first MAC device is further operable to transmit the message to the second MAC device (Figure 1 and 2, where the network is a ring and each nodes are connected through different datapaths).

Regarding claim 23, Knightly teaches the apparatus of claim 21 wherein the second MAC device is configured to transmit the message to a third MAC device (Figure 1 and 2, where the network is a ring and each nodes are connected through different datapaths).

Regarding claim 24, Knightly teaches the apparatus of claim 21 wherein the first MAC device is part of a first resilient packet ring (RPR) station and wherein the second MAC device is part of a second RPR station (Figure 1 and 2, where the network is a ring and each nodes are connected through different datapaths).

Regarding claim 32, Knightly teaches the apparatus of claim 18 wherein MAC client further comprises packet processing circuitry coupled to the buffer (Paragraph 46).

Regarding claims 33 and 44, Knightly teaches the apparatus of claims 32 and 35 wherein the packet processing circuitry includes the buffer control circuitry (Paragraph 46).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-66 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Remarks

Regarding claims 18 and 35, the applicant seems to be attempting to include the idea that the buffer is physically located within the MAC client into the limitations of the claims. The examiner agrees that that idea is not explicitly taught within the references, but the claimed limitation read as broadly as possible is not limited to that idea. As long as there exists a buffer within the system for storing data being transmitted to the MAC client it is still meeting the limitations of the claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Bates whose telephone number is (571) 272-3980. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am - 5 pm.

Art Unit: 2155

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

12 Test

Kevin Bates September 7, 2007